TO: Mr. Roberto Fonseca-Martinez

FROM: Robert E. Pickett DATE: 10/26/2005

CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DOCUMENTATION
Date CE level document approved by FHWA VA Division: 06/09/05 FHWA Contact: John Simkins Route: 609 State Project Number: 0609-057-140, P106, R201, M501, B601 From: Approaches and bridge over Garden Creek Irrigation Canal To: 1.0 mile east of Route 610 Federal Project Number: BROS-057-6(011) County/City: Mathews County UPC ID: 18443 Project in STIP: Yes Project Description: To replace an existing one-lane bridge with a one-lane structure including reconstruction to tie-in the bridge approaches. The proposed structure will be on approximate existing alignment, have a minimum 16-foot width and a length of 50 to 100 feet, have a height no greater than 18 to 24 inches above existing grade at the middle of the bridge, maintain the hydraulic opening, and will meet load requirements for secondary roads.
CE Category 23 CFR 771.117: (d) (3)  Description of Category: Bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction or replacement or the construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings.
USGS Map
Logical Termini and Independent Utility: Yes N/A (For Non-highway construction only, explain in comments below)
Comments: The project need has evolved from safety concerns related to the relatively low 9-ton bridge

posting, to current Virginia Department of Transportation recommendations for replacement of the bridge substructure constructed in October of 1959, and from inspection findings of significant wing wall scour, based on Structure Inspection Reports, VDOT, including that of 10/6/05.

**Typical Section:** Existing: Approximate 14 foot wide, timber deck bridge with steel floor beams.

**Structures:** Bridge structure #6001 to be replaced with a new structure.

Form EQ-104 (Revised 06/15/05)

	PRES	ENT	IMPA	CTS
SOCIO-ECONOMIC	YES	NO	YES	NO
Minority/Low Income Populations: "There are no minority or low-income populations				$\boxtimes$
present along the route of the proposed project." Mathews County Planning and				
Zoning Office, 8/5/05.				
Disproportionate Impacts to Minority/Low Income Populations: Yes \_ No \				
Existing or Planned Public Recreational Facilities - A public, non-swimming beach		$\boxtimes$		$\boxtimes$
exists at Bethel Beach, located adjacent to but off of the proposed project limits.				
<b>Source:</b> Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office and the DCR 2002 Virginia Out	doors F	Plan.		
Community Services - "Community services will diminish temporarily during	$\boxtimes$			$\boxtimes$
construction; however services will likely improve as a result of the project."				
<b>Source:</b> Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, 8/5/05.				
Consistent with Local Land Use: Yes No				
<b>Source:</b> "The proposed project is consistent with community goals." Mathews County	Plannir	ng and	Zoning	
Office, 8/5/05.				

## Comments:

SECTION 4(f) and SECTION 6(f)	YES	NO
Use of 4(f) Property:		
Acres: 0		
Individually Eligible Historic Property:		$\boxtimes$
Contributing Element to Historic District:		$\boxtimes$
Source: Virginia Department of Historic Resources and Virginia Department of	Transpo	ortation
Cultural Resources staff.		
Public Recreation Area:		
Public Park:		$\boxtimes$
Public Wildlife/Waterfowl Refuge:		$\boxtimes$
Planned Public Park, Recreation Area, Wildlife or Waterfowl Refuge:		$\boxtimes$
Source: Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office and the DCR 2002 Virgin	nia Outd	oors
Plan.		
Constructive Use:		
Section 4(f) Evaluation Attached:		$\boxtimes$
Conversion of 6(f) Property:		
Acres: 0		

Comments: The Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Bethel Beach Natural Area Preserve is adjacent to the proposed project. The 6(f) property review is based on the 6(f) properties listing dated 1/10/05 from Nick Nies, Virginia Department of Transportation. 6(f) parks review also conducted in the CEDAR database layer on 08/04/05.

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

<1/2 Acres Type: Tidal

CULTURAL RESOURCES	COMPLETE	N	/A		
Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Cultural Resource	urces staff and th	e Virginia	а		
Department of Historic Resources, 5/2/05.		_			
"No Effect" Pursuant to 1999 DHR Agreement			$\leq$		
Phase I Architecture Conducted					
Phase II Architecture Conducted	<u> </u>		$\leq$		
Phase I Archaeology Conducted			<u> </u>		
Phase II Archaeology Conducted			$\leq$		
Effect on Historia Decembrica - No Effect					
Effect on Historic Properties: No Effect  DHR Concurrence on Effect: Yes					
MOA Attached: Yes N/A					
WOA Attached.					
Comments: Per correspondence signed May 2, 2005, the	Virginia Departn	nent of H	istoric R	esources	S
concurred that the Garden Creek Irrigation Canal is not eligible					
Concurred that the Garden Greek impation Gardins not chiqibi	e ioi listiliq on the	z manona	ai Regisi	ei oi	
Historic Places.	e ioi iistiiig on the	z Maliona	ai Regisi	ei oi	
	e for fishing off the				
Historic Places.	e for fishing off the	PRESE	NT	IMPA	
Historic Places.  NATURAL RESOURCES	e for fishing on the	PRESE YES		IMPA YES	NO
NATURAL RESOURCES Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)		PRESE YES	ENT NO	IMPA YES <150 Lin	NO ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal) Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manage		PRESE YES	ENT NO	IMPA YES <150 Lin	NO ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manag Federal Threatened or Endangered Species:		PRESE YES	ENT NO	IMPA YES <150 Lin	NO ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manag Federal Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None		PRESE YES	ENT NO	IMPA YES <150 Lin	NO ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manage Federal Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None Aquatic: None None		PRESE YES	ENT NO	IMPA YES <150 Lin	NO ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manag Federal Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None Aquatic: None None Plants: None None	er, dated 10/3/05	PRESE YES  (concern	ENT NO Ining imp	IMPA YES <150 Lin act foota	ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manag Federal Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None Aquatic: None None Plants: None None Source: Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation	er, dated 10/3/05	PRESE YES  (concern	ENT NO Ining imp	IMPA YES <150 Lin act foota	ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Managered Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None Aquatic: None None Plants: None None Source: Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Fisheries, as coordinated through Don West, 9/8/05 and 9/22/	er, dated 10/3/05	PRESE YES  (concern	ENT NO Ining imp	IMPA YES <150 Lin act foota	ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Managered Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None Aquatic: None None Plants: None None  Source: Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Fisheries, as coordinated through Don West, 9/8/05 and 9/22/100 Year Floodplain:	er, dated 10/3/05	PRESE YES  (concern	ENT NO Ining imp	IMPA YES <150 Lin act foota	ear ft.
NATURAL RESOURCES  Surface Water (Name: Garden Creek Irrigation Canal)  Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Managered Threatened or Endangered Species: Terrestrial: None None Aquatic: None None Plants: None None Source: Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation Fisheries, as coordinated through Don West, 9/8/05 and 9/22/	er, dated 10/3/05 , Virginia Departr /05, respectively.	PRESE YES  (concern  nent of C	ENT  NO  ning imp	IMPA YES <150 Lin act foota	ear ft.

Water Quality permitting to be established through the Inter-Agency Coordination Meeting. Comments: Coordination efforts for the bald eagle, loggerhead turtle, and northeastern beach tiger beetle have resulted in no significant comment or time-of-year restrictions to date. Additional NEPA resource agency coordination was conducted through project presentation at the Inter-Agency Coordination Meeting on

If yes, there are no practicable alternatives to the construction in wetlands and the action will include all practicable measures to minimize harm to the

Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Water Quality staff

Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Water Quality staff

If yes, the project may qualify for NW #23 and NW#33.

Wetlands: Tidal wetlands.

Compensatory Mitigation Required:

impacted wetlands.

Permits Required:

October 12, 2005. Continued coordination of species will also occur during water quality permit acquisition for the project in 2006.

pen Space Easements – No conservation easements held by the Virginia utdoor Foundation per their 9/10/97 correspondence during SERP. Lack OF holdings reaffirmed by CEDAR review of VOF data layer on 8/4/05. Cource: Virginia Outdoor Foundation and VOF data layer in CEDAR.		NO	<b>-</b>	
utdoor Foundation per their 9/10/97 correspondence during SERP. Lack OF holdings reaffirmed by CEDAR review of VOF data layer on 8/4/05.			YES	NO
OF holdings reaffirmed by CEDAR review of VOF data layer on 8/4/05.				
<i>ource:</i> Virginia Outdoor Foundation and VOF data layer in CEDAR.				
gricultural/Forestal Districts - None		$\boxtimes$		
<u>ource:</u> No agricultural/forestal districts present per review of agricultural/fo EDAR on 8/4/05.	orestal distri	ct data l	ayer in	
omments:				
ARMLAND	YES	NO		
RCS Form CPA-106 Attached:				
ating:	_			
Iternatives Analysis Required:				
Form CPA-106 is not attached check all that are applicable:			1	
and already in Urban use:				
ntire project in area <i>not</i> zoned agriculture:				
RCS responded within 45 days:				
<i>Tource:</i> Virginia Department of Transportation staff project site inspection. n 10/5/05 and Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.		ection		
omments: There is no farmland within or adjacent to the project. "Morection of road is zoned Conservation." Other portions of the roadway are also the Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.	st of the pro zoned Rural	perty ald or Resi	ong this dential-1	,
		Pres	ent	
NVASIVE SPECIES	YES	NO	UNKN	OWI
vasive Species in the project area:			$\triangleright$	

If "No", indicate which exemption it falls under:

Form EQ-104 (Revised 06/15/05)

Exempt Project – According to 40 CFR 93.126 (table 2 exempt project), the project is identified as being exempt from air quality analysis. Since the project is exempt from an air quality analysis, it can be concluded that the project will not significantly impact air quality nor will it cause or contribute to an exceedance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for carbon monoxide.  LOS Criteria – All of the intersections/interchanges in the project area or directly affected by the project are forecasted to operate at a level of service (LOS) of "C" or better in the design year. According to 40 CFR 93.123, only a qualitative analysis must be conducted for this project, therefore, it can be reasonably concluded that this project will not significantly impact air quality nor will it cause or contribute to an exceedance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for carbon monoxide.  Traffic Volume – The project does not include or directly affect any roadway whose design year daily traffic volume would exceed the traffic thresholds outlined in the Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between VDOT and FHWA for streamlining the project-level air quality analysis process. Modeling using "worst" case parameters has been conducted for these thresholds and it has been determined that projects below these thresholds, such as this one, would not significantly impact air quality nor will it cause or contribute to an		
exceedance of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for carbon monoxide.		
Air Analysis Attached: <u>Source:</u> Virginia Department of Transportation Air Quality staff, dated 8/12/05	in CEDA	D D
Maintenance or Non-Attainment Area:		.ix.
In Long Range Plan & TIP:		$\square$
Source: Virginia Department of Transportation District Transportation Planner,	dated 8	/15/05
Comments:		
NOISE	YES	NO
Type I Project:		$\boxtimes$
Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Noise analysis staff, CEDAR, of	dated 8/2	26/05.
Noise Analysis Attached:		$\boxtimes$
Barriers Under Consideration:		
Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Noise analysis staff, CEDAR, d	ated 8/2	6/05.
Comments:		
RIGHT OF WAY AND RELOCATIONS	YES	NO
Residential Relocations:	$\boxtimes$	
If "Yes", number: No permanent relocations, but 3 temporary residential		
1		
relocations during estimated "thirty four hour closures for as many as six		
different times during the construction." This was established through early		
different times during the construction." This was established through early ROW discussions with the residents.		
different times during the construction." This was established through early ROW discussions with the residents.  Source: VDOT, Right of Way, Relocation Assistance Report dated 9/29/05.		
different times during the construction." This was established through early ROW discussions with the residents.		

Form EQ-104 (Revised 06/15/05)

		(IVENI2	eu 00/13	100)
different times during the construction." This was established through early				
ROW discussions with the commercial owner.	)0/0E			
<b>Source:</b> VDOT, Right of Way Section, Relocation Assistance Report dated 9/2 Non-profit Relocations:	29/05. 			
If "Yes", number:				
Source: VDOT, Right of Way Section, Relocation Assistance Report dated 9	/29/05.	l		
Right of Way required:				
If "Yes", acreage amount: Temporary construction easements will be				
obtained. Area is estimated as under ½ acre at this time.				
Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Project Manager, 10/3/05.				
	PRESE	INT	IMP <i>A</i>	CTS
	YES	NO	YES	NO
Septic Systems or Public Water Supplies:				
<b>Source:</b> Virginia Department of Transportation staff field inspection and review	of the la	and use	data laye	
CEDAR on 7/25/05 and 8/4/05, respectively.			,	
Hazardous Materials:				$\boxtimes$
Source: Virginia Department of Transportation Hazardous Materials staff field	inspection	on and re	eview of	the
environmental quality CEDAR data layers on 8/4/05.				
Comments: No homes or improved properties are adjacent to this project.				
CUMULATIVE AND INDIRECT IMPACTS		Pre	sent	
	YES	NO	sent N	<u>/A</u>
CUMULATIVE AND INDIRECT IMPACTS  Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.	YES			<u>∕A</u>
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office,	YES	NO		
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant additional development."		NO 🖂	N/ 	
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant		NO 🖂	N/ 	
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant additional development."  Source: Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05 and Virgin		NO 🖂	N/ 	
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant additional development."  Source: Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05 and Virgin Transportation staff.		NO 🖂	N/ 	
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant additional development."  Source: Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05 and Virgin Transportation staff.  Comments:	ia Depa	NO S	N/ 	
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant additional development."  Source: Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05 and Virgin Transportation staff.  Comments:  PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT  Substantial Controversy on Environmental Grounds: "The county government is not aware of any organized opposition to this proposed	ia Depa	NO STATE OF THE NO NO	N/ 	
Present or reasonably foreseeable future projects (highway and non-highway) in the area: None per Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05.  Impact same resources as the proposed highway project (i.e. cumulative impacts): None, as noted above.  Indirect (Secondary) impacts: "Most of the property along this section of road is zoned ConservationThere is no minimum lot size in the Conservation District; however, residential and commercial development is prohibited in this district." Also, "the high seasonal water table precludes significant additional development."  Source: Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office, dated 8/5/05 and Virgin Transportation staff.  Comments:  PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT  Substantial Controversy on Environmental Grounds: "The county	ia Depa	NO STATE OF THE NO NO	N/ 	

Form EQ-104 (Revised 06/15/05)

Public Hearing:	$\boxtimes$	
If "Yes", type of hearing: A Location and Design public hearing is anticipated		
per Project Manager, dated 10/20/05.		
Other Public Involvement Activities:	$\boxtimes$	
If "Yes", type of Involvement: The Right of Way Section of VDOT has		
conducted coordination meetings with the 3 resident and 1 commercial		
property owners east of the project to discuss the project and the proposed		
temporary relocations.		

Comments: Coordination of the proposed project with the United States Coast Guard, per correspondence dated October 22, 1997, provided advanced approval to construct the bridge across Garden Creek Irrigation Canal. They state that, "an individual permit will not be required for this project, on the condition that there will be no significant adverse impacts on the environment".

## COORDINATION

The following agencies were contacted during development of this study:

Mathews County Administrator

Mathews County Planning and Zoning Office

National Marine Fisheries Services

U. S. Army Corps of Engineers

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation

Virginia Department of Environmental Quality

Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries

Virginia Department of Health

Virginia Department of Historic Resources

Virginia Department of Transportation

Virginia Institute of Marine Science

Virginia Marine Resources Commission

This project meets the criteria for a Categorical Exclusion pursuant to 40 CFR 1508.4 and 23 CFR 771.117 and will not result in significant impacts to the human or natural environment.